

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Solola for the helpful and courteous discussion he had with Applicants' U.S. representative on November 9, 2005. Applicants would also like to thank Examiner Solola for indicating that the elected compound is allowable.

During the discussion, the Applicants' U.S. representative and the Examiner agreed to a generic structure for the claimed compound which would overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph and allow the Examiner to continue his search for addition species of the claimed compound. As the Examiner will note, Claim 1 has been amended to a substantial structural feature that will allow the Examiner to continue his search. In addition, Claims 6 and 16 have been canceled. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

In addition, should the Examiner find the elected claims allowable, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner rejoin the non-elected claims. Applicants note that the non-elected claims depend from or otherwise include the limitations of claimed composition and the non-elected claims are drawn to a method of making or using the claimed composition. Accordingly, the non-elected claims should be rejoined (M.P.E.P. § 821.04).

In light of the remarks contained herein, Applicants respectfully submit that the present application is now in condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,
MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
Norman F. Oblon



Donald K. Drummond, Ph.D.

Registration No. 52,834

Customer Number
22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000
Fax: (703) 413 -2220
(OSMMN 06/04)